Enforcement Actions Closed or Concluded

State of Oklahoma

Fiscal Year 2001- 1st Quarter

October 1, 2000 - December 31, 2000

County	Statute	Facility Name	Date Final	Type of Action ¹	Penalty Amount ²	SEP ³
Osage	CWA 311B	Calumet Oil Company	10/26/00	CACO	\$500	
Okmulgee	CWA 301	Carl Rice	12/01/00	AO		
Kay	CAA 112	Conoco, Inc.	11/13/00	CACO	\$24,640	\$150,000
Osage	SDWA 1422	CRH Oil Company	11/14/00	AO		
Cherokee	TSCA 203	Dahlonegah Public School	11/07/00	CACO	\$715	
Osage	SDWA 1422	Discovery Energy, Inc.	11/28/00	AO		
Creek	SDWA 1422	Energy Lease Service, Incorporated	11/07/00	CACO	\$24,000	
Oklahoma	EPCRA 313	Gulfstream Technologies	11/14/00	CACO	\$5,000	
Tulsa	FIFRA 12A2L	HRH Products, Inc.	11/16/00	CACO	\$0	
Pontotoc	CWA 311B	Kerr-McGee Oil & Gas	10/20/00	CACO	\$500	
Sequoyah	TSCA 203	Marble City Public School	11/07/00	CACO	\$935	
Tulsa	EPCRA 313	Metal Processing Company	11/14/00	CACO	\$1,000	
Oklahoma	EPCRA 313	Midwest Trophy	11/14/00	CACO	\$5,000	
Pottawatomie	TSCA 203	North Rock Creek Public School	10/26/00	CACO	\$1,815	
Beckham	CWA 311B/OPA	Odessa Oil Investment Company	10/05/00	CACO	\$3,000	
Osage	CWA 301	Performance Petroleum	12/20/00	AO		
Adair	TSCA 203	Sapulpa Christian School	10/17/00	CACO	\$3,025	
Osage	SDWA 1422	Sherman Buechler	11/28/00	AO		
Osage	SDWA 1422	Smith & Smith Petroleum Co.	11/14/00	AO		
Pottawatomie	CWA 311B	Sun Pipe Line Company	10/05/00	CACO	\$500	
Osage	CWA 301	Tallgrass Petroleum Corporation	12/19/00	CACO	\$27,500	
Osage	SDWA	TEC Resources	10/26/00	AO		
Washington	TSCA 203	Tri-County Vocational School	10/31/00	CACO	\$2,394	
Oklahoma	RCRA 9003	U.S. Air Force, Tinker	11/07/00	CACO	\$51,500	

^{1.} AO = Administrative Order closed after compliance with the requirements of the order; CACO = Administrative Penalty Order requiring compliance with environmental regulations and assessing a penalty; CACO = Consent Agreement and Order requiring compliance with environmental regulations and/or assessing a penalty; CJ = Civil Judicial Case with the Department of Justice

Narrative Summary:

CONOCO, INC.: A Consent Agreement and Final Order was filed by EPA Region 6 on November 13, 2000, which settled an action initiated against Conoco, Inc. on October 26, 2000. EPA alleged that Conoco violated the Clean Air Act 112(r)(1) General Duty Clause by failing to identify hazards which may result from accidental releases and failing to design and maintain a safe facility at its Ponca City, Oklahoma refinery. A fire occurred in October 1999, at an above ground storage tank at the refinery tank

^{2.} All penalties are Final

^{3.} SEP = Supplemental Environmental Project

farm, causing air releases and personal injuries. Conoco agreed to pay a cash penalty of \$24,640 and perform a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) with a total expenditure of at least \$150,000 at the refinery. The SEP includes installation of temperature and fluid level indicators with automated warning devices in the hydrocarbon storage tanks. The SEP project will reduce/eliminate releases from the tanks due to overfilling. Better monitoring of the tank temperature will help prevent the recurrence of the situation that resulted in the tank fire.